

APPENDIX

PERFORMANCE MEASURES SUBJECT TO TIER-1 AND TIER-2 DAMAGES IDENTIFIED AS HIGH, MEDIUM AND LOW

Performance Measures	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-1 Damages			Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-2 Assessments		
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High

I. RESALE POTS, RESALE SPECIALS AND UNES

A. Pre-Ordering/Ordering

1. Average Response Time For OSS Pre-Order Interfaces.	-	-	-	-	-	-
1.1 Average Response Time for Manual Loop Make-up Information (Formerly PM 57)	✓	-	-	-	X	-
1.2 Accuracy of Actual Loop Make-up Information Provide for DSL Orders	✓	-	-	-	X	-
2. Percent Response received within "X" Seconds	✓	-	-	-	X	-
3. EASE Average Response Time - Eliminated 7/12/00						
4. OSS Interface Availability	-	-	-			X
4.1 Pre-Order Backend System Database Query Availability	-	-	-	-	-	-
5. % Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) Received Within "X" Hours	✓	-	-		X	-
5.1 % Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) for XDSL-capable loops & Line Sharing Returned Within "x" Hours	✓	-	-		X	-
5.2 Percent Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) Returned within "x" days on ASR requests	-	-	-	-	-	-
6. Average Time To Return FOC	-	-	-	-	-	-
6.1 Average Time to Return DSL FOC's	-	-	-	-	-	-
7. Percent Mechanized Completions Returned Within 1 Hour - Eliminated 7/12/00						

Performance Measures	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-1 Damages			Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-2 Assessments		
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High
7.1 Percent Mechanized Completions Notifications Available Within one Day of Work Completion	✓	-	-	-	-	-
8. Average Time to Return Mechanized Completions - Eliminated 7/12/00						
9. Percent Rejects	-	-	-	-	-	-
10. Percent Mechanized Rejects Returned Within 1 Hour of EDI/LASR	✓	-	-	-	-	-
10.1 Percent Manual Rejects Returned Within X Hours	✓	-	-	-	-	-
10.2 Percentage of Orders that receive SWB-caused Jeopardy Notifications	-	-	-	-	-	-
11. Mean Time to Return Mechanized Rejects	-	-	-	-	-	-
11.1 Mean Time to Return Rejects that are Received Electronically via LEX or EDI	-	-	-	-	-	-
11.2 Average SWB Caused Jeopardy Notification Interval	-	-	-	-	-	-
12. Mechanized Provisioning Accuracy	✓	-	-	X		-
12.1 Percent Provisioning Accuracy for non-flow through orders	-	-	✓	-	-	-
13. Order Process Percent Flow Through	✓	-	-			X
13.1 Overall Percent LSR Process Flow Through	-	-	-	-	-	-

B. Billing

14. Billing Accuracy	-	-	-	-	-	-
15. Percent of Accurate And Complete Formatted Mechanized Bills	✓	-	-	-	-	X
16. Percent Of Billing Records Transmitted Correctly	✓	-	-	-	-	-
17. Billing Completeness	✓	-	-	-	X	-

Performance Measures	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-1 Damages			Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-2 Assessments		
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High
17.1 Service Order Posting	-	-	-	-	-	-
18. Billing Timeliness (Wholesale Bill)	✓	-	-	-	-	X
19. Daily Usage Feed Timeliness	-	-	-	-	-	-
20. Unbillable Usage Eliminated 7/12/00						

C. Miscellaneous Administrative

21. LSC Average Speed Of Answer - Eliminated 7/12/00						
22. LSC Grade Of Service (GOS)	-	-	-	-	-	X
23. Percent Busy in the Local Service Center	-	-	-	X	-	-
24. LOC Average Speed Of Answer - Eliminated 7/12/00						
25. LOC Grade Of Service (GOS)	-	-	-	-	-	X
26. Percent Busy in the LOC	-	-	-	X	-	-

**II. RESALE: POTS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED
BY SWBT**

A. Provisioning

27. Mean Installation Interval	-	-	✓	-	-	X
28. Percent Installations Completed Within "X" Business Days (POTS)	-	-	-	-	-	-
29. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates	-	-	✓	-	-	X
30. Percent Company Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-
31. Average Delay Days For Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Measures	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-1 Damages			Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-2 Assessments		
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High
32. Average Delay Days For SWBT Missed Due Dates	-	✓	-	-	-	-
33. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates greater than 30 days - Eliminated 7/12/00						
34. Count of orders canceled after the due date which were caused by SWBT - Eliminated 7/12/00						
35. Percent Trouble Reports Within 10 Days (I-10) Of Installation	-	-	✓	-	-	X
35.1 Percent UNE-P Trouble Reports On The Completion Date	-	-	-	-	-	-
36. Percent No Access (Trouble Reports With no Access)	-	-	-	-	-	-

B. Maintenance

37. Trouble Report Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-
37.1 Trouble Report Rate net of installation and repeat reports	-	-	✓	-	-	X
38. Percent Missed Repair Commitments	-	-	✓	-	-	X
39. Receipt To Clear Duration	-	-	✓	-	-	X
40. Percent Out Of Service (OOS) < 24 Hours	-	✓	-	-	-	-
41. Percent Repeat Reports	-	-	✓	-	-	X
42. Percent No Access (% of Trouble reports with No Access) - Eliminated 7/12/00						

III. RESALE: SPECIALS AND UNE LOOP AND PORT COMBINATIONS COMBINED BY SWBT

A. Provisioning

43. Average Installation Interval	-	-	✓	-	-	X
44. Percent Installations Completed Within "X" Business Days	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Measures	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-1 Damages			Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-2 Assessments		
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High
45. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates	-	-	✓	-	-	X
46. Percent Installation Reports (Trouble Reports) Within 30 Days (I-30) Of Installation	-	-	✓	-	-	X
47. Percent Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-
48. Delay Days For Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-
49. Delay Days For SWBT Missed Due Dates	-	✓	-	-	-	-
50. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates greater than 30 days - Eliminated 7/12/00						
51. Count of orders canceled after the due date which were caused by SWBT - Eliminated 7/12/00						

B. Maintenance

52. Mean Time To Restore	-	-	✓	-	-	X
53. Percent Repeat Reports	-	-	✓	-	-	X
54. Failure Frequency	✓	-	-	-	-	-

IV. UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS (UNES)

A. Provisioning

55. Average Installation Interval	-	-	-	-	-	-
55.1 Average Installation Interval - DSL	-	-	✓	-	-	X
55.2 Average Installation Interval for Loop With LNP	-	-	-	-	-	-
55.3 Percent xDSL-capable loop orders requiring the removal of load coils and or repeaters	-	-	-	-	-	-
56. Percent Installations Completed Within "X" Business Days	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Measures	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-1 Damages			Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-2 Assessments		
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High
56.1 Percent installations completed within the customer requested due date for LNP with loop	-	-	✓	-	-	X
57. Moved to PM 1.1						
58. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates	-	-	✓	-	-	X
59. Percent Installation Reports (Trouble Reports) Within 30 Days (I-30) Of Installation	-	-	✓	-	-	X
60. Percent Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-
61. Average Delay Days For Missed Due Dates Due To Lack Of Facilities	-	-	-	-	-	-
62. Average Delay Days For SWBT Missed Due Dates	-	✓	-	-	-	-
63. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates greater than 30 days	-	-	-	-	-	-
64. Count of orders canceled after the due date which were caused by SWBT - Eliminated 7/12/00						

B. Maintenance

65. Trouble Report Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-
65.1 Trouble Report Rate net of installation and repeat reports	-	-	✓	-	-	X
66. Percent Missed Repair Commitments	-	-	✓	-	-	X
67. Mean Time To Restore	-	-	✓	-	-	X
68. Percent Out Of Service (OOS) < "X" Hours - Eliminated 7/12/00						
69. Percent Repeat Reports	-	-	✓	-	-	X

V. INTERCONNECTION TRUNKS

Performance Measures	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-1 Damages			Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-2 Assessments		
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High
70. Percent Trunk Blockage	-	-	✓	-	-	X
70.1 Trunk Blockage Exclusions	-	-	-	-	-	-
71. Common Transport Trunk Blockage	-	-	-	-	-	X
72. Distribution Of Common Transport Trunk Groups Exceeding 2%	-	-	-	-	-	-
73. Percentage of installations completed within the customer desired due date	-	-	✓	-	-	X
73.1 Percentage Held Interconnection Trunks	-	✓	-	X	-	-
74. Average Delay Days For Missed Due Dates - Interconnection Trunks	✓	-	-	-	-	-
75. Percent SWBT Caused Missed Due Dates greater than 30 days - Eliminated 7/12/00						
76. Average Trunk Restoration Interval	✓	-	-	-	-	-
77. Average Trunk Restoration Interval for Service Affecting Trunk Groups	-	-	✓	-	-	X
78. Average Interconnection Trunk Installation Interval - Eliminated 7/12/00						

VI. DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE (DA) AND OPERATOR SERVICES (OS)

79. Directory Assistance Grade Of Service - Eliminated 7/12/00						
80. Directory Assistance Average Speed Of Answer	-	-	-	X	-	-
81. Operator Services Grade Of Service - Eliminated 7/12/00						
82. Operator Services Average Speed Of Answer	-	-	-	X	-	-
83. Percent Calls Abandoned - Eliminated 7/12/00						

Performance Measures	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-1 Damages			Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-2 Assessments		
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High
84. Percent Calls Deflected - Eliminated 7/12/00						
85. Average Work Time - Eliminated 7/12/00						
86. Non-Call Busy Work Volumes - Eliminated 7/12/00						

VII INTERIM NUMBER PORTABILITY (INP)

87. % Installation Completed Within "x" (3, 7, 10) Business Days - Eliminated 7/12/00						
88. Average INP Installation Interval - Eliminated 7/12/00						
89. Percent INP I-Reports Within 30 Days - Eliminated 7/12/00						
90. Percent Missed Due Dates - Eliminated 7/12/00						

VIII LOCAL NUMBER PORTABILITY (LNP)

91. Percent LNP Due Dates within Industry Guide Lines	-	-	-	-	-	-
92. Percent of time the old service Provider Releases Subscription prior to the expiration of the second 9 hour timer	-	-	-	-	-	-
93. Percent of customer account restructured prior to LNP Due Dates	✓	-	-	-	-	-
94. Percent FOCs received within "X": hours - Eliminated 7/12/00						
95. Average Response time for Non-mechanized Rejects returned with complete and accurate codes						
96. Percent premature Disconnects for Stand Alone LNP Orders	-	-	✓	-	-	X
97. Percent of Time SWBT applies the 10-digit trigger prior to the LNP Order Due date.	-	-	✓	-	-	X
98. Percent LNP I-Reports in 10 days	-	-	✓	-	-	X

Performance Measures	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-1 Damages			Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-2 Assessments		
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High
99. Average Delay Days for SWBT Missed Due Dates.	-	✓	-	-	X	-
100. Average Time of out of service for LNP conversions	-	-	-	-	-	-
101. Percent Out of Service < 60 Minutes	-	-	✓	-	-	X

VIII. 911

102. Average Time To Clear Errors	✓	-	-	-	-	-
103. % accuracy for 911 database updates	✓	-	-	-	-	-
104. Average Time Required to Update 911 Database (Facility Based Providers)	✓	-	-	-	-	-
104.1 The Average Time it takes to unlock the 911 record	-	-	-	-	-	-

IX. POLES, CONDUIT AND RIGHTS OF WAY

105. % of requests processed within 35 days	✓	-	-	-	-	-
106. Average Days Required to Process a Request	-	-	-	-	-	-

X. COLLOCATION

107. % Missed Collocation Due Dates	-	-	✓	-	-	X
108. Average Delay Days For SWBT Missed Due Dates	✓	-	-	-	-	-
109. % of requests processed within <u>the tariffed timelines</u>	✓	-	-	-	-	-

XI. DIRECTORY ASSISTANCE DATABASE

Performance Measures	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-1 Damages			Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-2 Assessments		
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High

110. % of updates completed into the DA Database within 72 Hours for facility based CLECs	✓	-	-	-	-	-
111. Average Update Interval for DA database for facility based CLECs	✓	-	-	-	-	-
112. % DA Database Accuracy For Manual Updates	✓	-	-	-	-	-
113. % of electronic updates that flow through the DSR process without manual intervention	✓	-	-	-	-	-

XII. COORDINATED CONVERSIONS

114. % Pre-mature disconnects (Coordinated Cutovers)	-	-	✓	-	-	X
114.1 CHC/FDT LNP with Loop Provisioning Interval	-	-	-	-	-	-
115. % SWBT caused delayed Coordinated Cutovers	-	-	-	-	-	-
115.1 Mean Time To Restore - Provisioning Trouble Report (PTR)	-	-	-	-	-	-
116. % Missed mechanized INP conversions - Eliminated 7/12/00						

XIII. NXX

117. % NXXs loaded and tested prior to the LERG effective date	-	-	✓	-	-	X
118. Average Delay Days for NXX loading and testing	✓	-	-	-	-	-
119. Mean Time to Repair - Eliminated 7/12/00						

Performance Measures	Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-1 Damages			Measurement Groups Subject to Tier-2 Assessments		
	Low	Med	High	Low	Med	High

XIV. BONA FIDE REQUEST PROCESS (BFRs)

120. % of requests processed within 45 business days	-	-	-	-	-	-
121. % Quotes Provided for Authorized BFRs within 30 business days	-	-	✓	-	-	X
122. Eliminated 7/12/00						
123. Percent of timely and compliant change management notices	-	-	-	-	-	-
124. Timely resolution of significant software failures related with releases	-	-	✓	-	-	X